COMPACT FONTS

a different approach to scaling

context 2021 meeting

How T_EX uses fonts

- In a T_EX engine there is a 1-to-1 mapping from characters in the input to a slot in a font.
- Combinations of characters can be mapped onto one shape: ligatures.
- Hyphenation is (also) controlled by the input encoding of a font which imposes some limitations.
- In the typeset result characters (glyphs) can be (re)positioned relatively: kerns.
- This all happens in a very interwoven way (e.g. inside the par builder).

How traditional T_EX sees fonts

- A font is just a (binary) blob of data with information where characters have a width, height, depth and italic correction.
- The engine only needs the dimensions and when the same font is used with a different scale a copy with scaled dimensions is used.
- There can be recipes for ligatures and (more complex) so called math extensible shapes (like arrows, wide accents, fences and radicals)
- There are pairwise specification for kerning.
- A handful of font parameters is provided in order to control for instance spacing.
- Virtual fonts are just normal fonts but they have recipes for the backend to construct shapes: T_EX uses the normal metric file, the backend also the virtual specification file.

An example of a definition and usage:

\font\cs somename [somescale] test {\cs test} test

compact fonts – context **2021** meeting – how traditional tex sees fonts

Unicode engines

- There are no fundamental differences between a traditional engine and an Unicode aware one with respect to what T_EX needs from fonts: dimensions.
- There can be more than 256 characters in a font.
- Some mechanisms have to be present for applying font features (like ligaturing and kerning).
- In LuaT_EX hyphenation, ligaturing and kerning are clearly separate steps.
- In LuaT_EX callbacks can do lots of things with fonts and characters.
- Math fonts are handled differently and there is more info that comes from the font.
- In LuaT_EX features like expansion are implemented differently, i.e. no copies of fonts are made and applied expansion travels with the glyphs.

Overhead

- In all engines scales copies are used. For traditional $T_E X$ a copy is cheap, but a font that supports more of Unicode cna be quite large.
- Different feature sets in principle make for a different font (this can be different per macro pack-age).
- Every math scale needs three font instances: text, script and scriptscript.
- In ConT_EXt lots of sharing takes place and a lot of low level optimizations happens (memory, performance). We always made sure (already in MkII) that the font system was not the bottle-neck.
- In most cases font definitions are dynamic, and we delay initialization as much as possible.
- In MkIV font features can be dynamic which saves a lot of memory at the cost of some extra processing overhead.

Design sizes

- A macro package's font handling is complicated by design sizes. The low level code can look complex too.
- There are hardly any fonts that come in design sizes (basically only Computer Modern) so we need to support that.
- Design sizes make sense for math fonts where very small characters and symbols are used in scripts.
- In OpenType fonts smaller math design sizes are part of the font (that then gets loaded three times with different ssty settings).



compact fonts - context 2021 meeting - design sizes

The system

- Each bodyfont size (often a few are defined) has regular, bold, italic, bold italic, slanted, bold slanted, etc. There can be unscaled instances (design size) or scaled ones.
- Within a bodyfont size we have size variants: smaller x and xx, larger a, b, c and d.
- In MkII we inherit smallcap and oldstyle setups but in MkIV one can either do that dynamic or define an additional bodyfont instance.
- A document can use a mix of fonts mixed setup, so there is a namespace used per family.
- In OpenType symbols are more naturally part of a font, as are emoji and colored shapes.
- Lack of variants can result in artificially slanted of boldened fonts (more advanced in MkIV). Variable fonts are not special, but demand some work when loading and embedding.
- Runtime virtual fonts are part of MkIV as are fallback shapes.
- Languages and scripts can introduce an extra dimension to operate on.
- Math is greatly simplified by the fact that families are part of a font.
- Bold math fonts are provided by the boldening feature.

compact fonts - context 2021 meeting - the system

Practice

- Due to optimizations the actual number of loaded instances is normally small but there are exceptions.
- The LuaMetaT_EX manual has 158 instances most of which are math (six per used bodyfont for math plus a regular: Lucida, Pagella, Latin Modern, Dejavu, Cambria).
- The final pdf file has 21 embedded fonts (subsets).
- The fact that different sizes each have an instance and that for math we need three per size plus one for r2l, while the only difference is scale, makes one think of other solutions.
- Using duplicates of huge cjk fonts makes it even more noticeable.

But there is a way out!

Glyph scaling

We can scale glyphs in three ways:

\definedfont[lmroman10-regular*default]%
test {\glyphxscale 2500 test} test
test {\glyphyscale 2500 test} test
test {\glyphscale 2500 test} test

We do need to honor grouping:

\definedfont[lmroman10-regular*default]%
e{\glyphxscale 2500 ff}icient
ef{\glyphxscale 2500 f}icient
ef{\glyphxscale 2500 fi}cient
e{\glyphxscale 2500 ffi}cient

efficient efficient efficient efficient

These scales can also be part of a font definition so we can do with less instances.

compact fonts – context 2021 meeting – glyph scaling

Implications

- The text processing part of the engine has to scale on the fly (e.g. when dimensions are needed in paragraph building and (box) packing.
- Font processing (features) already distinguishes per instance but now also has to differentiate by (upto three) scales (we use the same font id for scaled instances).
- The math machinery has to check for smaller sizes and also scale dimensions on the fly.
- For math that means two times scaling: the main scale (like glyph scale) plus the relative scaling of script and scriptscript.
- When they are used font dimensions and math parameters are to be scaled as are rules in some math extensibles.
- This all has to be done efficiently so that there is no significant drop in performance.
- But quite a bit less memory is used and loading time has become less too.
 Some day this will become default (which means a sentimental process of dropping ancient code): \enableexperiments[fonts.compact]

compact fonts - context 2021 meeting - implications

Details

The tricks shown on this page and following pages have been in place and tested for a while now. Because T_EX uses integers a scale value of 1000 means 1.000, as in other mechanisms:

\definedfont[lmroman10-regular*default]%
test {\glyphscale 2500 test} test

 ${}_{\rm test} test {}_{\rm test}$

The font definition mechanism supports horizontal and vertical scaling:

\definefont[FooA][Serif*default @ 12pt 1800 500] \definefont[FooB][Serif*default @ 12pt 0.85 0.4] \definefont[FooC][Serif*default @ 12pt]

There is also a new command:

\definetweakedfont[runwider] [xscale=1.5]
\definetweakedfont[runtaller][yscale=2.5,xscale=.8,yoffset=-.2ex]

{\FooA test test \runwider test test \runtaller test test}\par
{\FooB test test \runwider test test \runtaller test test}\par
{\FooC test test \runwider test test \runtaller test test}\par

There is also a new command:

Tweaking also works in math:

 $a = b^{2} + \sqrt{c}$ $a = b^{2} + \sqrt{c}$ $a = b^{2} + \sqrt{c}$ $a = b^{2} + \sqrt{c}$

```
\definetweakedfont[squeezed][xscale=0.9]
\definetweakedfont[squoozed][xscale=0.7]
```

```
3 \startlines

4 a = b^2 + \sqrt{c}

5 {\squeezed $a = b^2 + \sqrt{c}$}

6 {\squoozed $a = b^2 + \sqrt{c}$}

7 {$\squoozed $a = b^2 + \sqrt{c}$}


8 {$\scriptstyle $a = b^2 + \sqrt{c}$}

9 \stoplines

a = b^2 + \sqrt{c}
```

```
compact fonts – context 2021 meeting – details
```

```
\startcombination[3*1]
   {\bTABLE
       \bTR \bTD foo \eTD \bTD[style=\squeezed] $x = 1$ \eTD \eTR
       \bTR \bTD oof \eTD \bTD[style=\squeezed] $x = 2$ \eTD \eTR
    \eTABLE} {local}
   {\bTABLE[style=\squeezed]
       bTR bTD $x = 1$ eTD bTD $x = 3$ eTD eTR
       bTR bTD $x = 2$ eTD bTD $x = 4$ eTD eTR
    \eTABLE} {global}
   {\bTABLE[style=\squeezed\squeezed\squeezed]
       bTR bTD $x = 1$ eTD bTD $x = 3$ eTD eTR
       bTR bTD $x = 2$ eTD bTD $x = 4$ eTD eTR
    \eTABLE} {multiple}
\stopcombination
```



Tweaking can be combined with styles:

\definetweakedfont[MyLargerFontA][scale=2000,style=bold]
test {\MyLargerFontA test} test

test **test** test

We can use negative scale values:

```
\bTABLE[align=middle]
 \bTR
   \bTD a{\glyphxscale 1000 \glyphyscale 1000 bc}d \eTD
   \bTD a{\glyphxscale 1000 \glyphyscale -1000 bc}d \eTD
   \bTD a{\glyphxscale -1000 \glyphyscale -1000 bc}d \eTD
   \bTD a{\glyphxscale -1000 \glyphyscale 1000 bc}d \eTD
 ∖eTR
 \bTR
   \bTD \tttf +1000 +1000 \eTD
   \bTD \tttf +1000 -1000 \eTD
   \bTD \tttf -1000 -1000 \eTD
   \bTD \tttf -1000 +1000 \eTD
 ∖eTR
∖eTABLE
```

abcd	abcd a _{pc} d		del		
+1000 +1000	+1000 -1000	-1000 -1000	-1000 +1000		

There are more glyph properties:

\ruledhbox{

?

{ { oeps^{oeps}oeps

Glyph scales are internal counter values, like any other:

```
\samplefile{jojomayer}
```

```
{\glyphyoffset .8ex
```

- \glyphxscale 700 \glyphyscale\glyphxscale
- \samplefile{jojomayer}}
- {\glyphyscale\numexpr3*\glyphxscale/2\relax
- \samplefile{jojomayer}}
- {\glyphyoffset -.2ex
- \glyphxscale 500 \glyphyscale\glyphxscale
- \samplefile{jojomayer}}
- \samplefile{jojomayer}

If we surrender the thing that separates us from machines, we will be replaced by machines. The more advanced machines will be, the more human we will have to become. ^{If we surrender the thing that} separates us from machines, we will be replaced by machines. The more advanced machines will be, the more human we will have to become. If we surrender the thing that separates us from machines, we will be replaced by machines. The more human we will have to become. If we surrender the thing that separates us from machines, we will be replaced by machines. The more advanced machines will be, the more human we will have to become. If we surrender the thing that separates us from machines, we will be replaced by machines. The more advanced machines will be, the more human we will have to become. If we surrender the thing that separates us from machines, we will be replaced by machines. The more advanced machines will be, the more human we will have to become. If we surrender the thing that separates us from machines, we will be replaced by machines. The more advanced machines will be, the more human we will have to become. If we surrender the thing that separates us from machines, we will be replaced by machines. The more advanced machines will be, the more human we will have to become.

There is a helper for real (float) scales:

- 1200 : \the\numericscale1200
- 1.20 : \the\numericscale1.200

These lines produce the same integer:

1200 : 1200

1.20 : 1200

You can do this but it's not always predictable (depends on outer scales too):

```
\def\UnKernedTeX
  {T%
    {\glyph xoffset -.2ex yoffset -.4ex `E}%
```

```
{\glyph xoffset -.4ex options "60 `X}}
```

We use \UnKernedTeX\ and {\bf \UnKernedTeX} and {\bs \UnKernedTeX}: the slanted version could use some more left shift<u>ing of the E.</u>

We use T_EX and T_EX and *T_EX*: the slanted version could use some mo<u>re left shifting of the E</u>.

Marks and cursive features can interfere, so this is an alternative:

\def\UnK<u>ernedT</u>eX

{T\glyph left .2ex raise -.4ex `E\glyph left _.2ex `X\relax}

We use T_EX and T_EX and T_EX : the slanted version could use some more left shifting of the E.

Yet another glyph option:

\multiply\glyphscale	by	2	<{\darkgray	∖glyph					`M}>	
\multiply\glyphscale				.	raise	3pt			`M}>	
\multiply\glyphscale	by	2	<{\darkgray	\glyph	raise	-3p1	E		`M}>	
\multiply\glyphscale	by	2	<{\darkgray	\glyph	left	3pt			`M}>	
\multiply\glyphscale	by	2	<{\darkgray	\glyph			right	2pt	`M}>	
\multiply\glyphscale	by	2	<{\darkgray	∖glyph	left	3pt	right	2pt	`M}>	
\multiply\glyphscale	by	2	<{\darkgray	∖glyph	left	-3pt			`M}>	
\multiply\glyphscale	by	2	<{\darkgray	∖glyph			right	-2pt	`M}>	
\multiply\glyphscale	by	2	<{\darkgray	∖glyph	left	-3pt	right	-2pt	`M}>	

<m></m>	<m></m>	<m>></m>
	raise 3pt	raise -3pt
<m></m>	<m></m>	<m></m>
left 3pt	right 2pt	left 3pt right 2pt
< M>	<m></m>	<m></m>
left -3pt	right -2pt	left -3pt right -2pt

A larger example:

1 \glyphscale 4000
2 \vl\glyph ^ M\vl\quad
3 \vl\glyph raise .2em ^ M\vl\quad
4 \vl\glyph left .3em ^ M\vl\quad
5 \vl\glyph raise -.2em right .2em M\vl\quad
6 \vl\glyph raise -.2em right .4em M\vl

$\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{M}} = \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{M}} = \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{M}} = \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{M}} = \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{M}}$